Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,222	TAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seungsook Ham	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard LaCava</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: Yamaguchi (JP 2001308611)		,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Examiner's Amendment	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)